Speech on the Reminiscences of research on the compound eye

an edited version of an after-dinner entertainment at an International Conference on Invertebrate Vision, Bæckaskog Castle,

by Adrian Horridge

It gives me great pleasure that at least 30 of those at this Conference, and a third of the speakers, have worked in my lab at one time or another, and there did some of their best work. It has been a fascinating story at opposite ends of the world, expensive in time and funds, and an immense collaborative effort. The competence and camaraderie of these past associates is evident at this meeting. It is rewarding to see how many able students have gone on to successful careers after a basic training on the techniques for study of insect and crustacean vision. Many of the names mentioned below are now distinguished professors. Some are even still working on the same topic. The eye is the window on the brain; invertebrates offer some hope of understanding how vision works; there are many fascinating animals to study, and great practical applications have already emerged unexpectedly.

In 1960 I returned from California, from working on a book with Ted Bullock, to become Director of the Gatty Marine Laboratory of the University of St Andrews, Scotland. My predecessor, Jimmy Dodd, had taken his fish endocrinology group to another University so I inherited about 2,000 sq. metres of empty lab space, with an aquarium, boats and access to funds for extensions and equipment, a vast opportunity. My research fell by accident into the topic of the compound eye at a time when funding became abundant. In 1962, 4 students, David Sandeman, Reg Chapman, John Scholes and Jonathan Barnes, and I, were working on a variety of invertebrates, notably crab eye movements, crab axons and optic lobe, polychaete neurons and leg learning in cockroaches and locusts, when Burtt & Catton at Newcastle published a ludicrous account of the locust compound eye as a diffraction grating with summation of rays at different levels in the receptor cell layer. Two newly arrived students, John Tunstall and Steve Shaw, needed a topic and we decided to tackle the insect retina. Since 1952 I had been recording from neurons. We had built a workshop, appointed an electronics technician, and designed and built all the amplifiers and other apparatus. We built electrode pullers, cardan arms, shutters and filter wheels as fast as we could, and described the photoreceptor fields by intracellular recording. Because he did not work by day, Scholes discovered photon arrivals for the first time in an insect eye and, with the EM in the Zoology Dept, Tudor Barnard described the palisade that appears in the dark-adapted eye and alters the light-guiding properties of the rhabdom. We ourselves had to devise the methods that later we continued to use and improve. They were years of rapid discovery; the students lived in the lab, day and night, fixed their cars in the workshop, cooked their meals in the lab kitchen, and never forgot the excitement of it. The lab freezer was always full of fish, lobsters, ducks and
pheasants they had somehow caught. A part of the joie de vivre sprang from the certainty that all would get a job without difficulty, and that real discoveries were being made. A summary of that early work on the locust is in the Stockholm Symposium of 1966 on the Compound Eye (ed. C. G. Bernhard). In 1966 I published 26 papers.

In the same period, Malcom Burrows (elected to the Royal Society in 1985) recorded the activity of all the muscles in the crab eyestalk and Pete Shepheard discovered that a crab remembers the position of contrasts in the surrounding world as a retinotopic projection. This discovery was taken up by Rudiger Wehner, who was just beginning his period of training bees to come to black bars on a vertical surface. The crab detects black/white edges quite separately from broad areas of black; this was our introduction to the two separate systems. The eye responds at angular velocities less than earth speed (15°/hour), and the accuracy is much better than the interommatidial angle. The results were totally at odds with the Reichardt model of motion detection by the compound eye, as was the behaviour of the freely moving crab eyestalk in tremor and when recovering from an eye retraction.

At St Andrews we could catch drone bees, water beetles and dragonflies in summer, and we found that we could record much more easily from insect eyes than from crabs or lobsters. I had a mysterious visit from the Professor of Genetics at ANU, David Catcheside, who looked over the Gatty. He was actually looking for staff, but said he had come to visit Prof. Callan, who worked on giant chromosomes and occasionally removed lobsters from the tanks of specimens for classes. In 1967, Steve Shaw and I had Grass and Lalor Fellowships to work at Wood’s Hole, Massachusetts, where we took our recording gear and caught dragonflies at Prosser’s pond, with a net made from one of Hazel Prosser’s net curtains. There, I discovered fireflies winking at night in the bushes and collected them for electron microscopy of the light guides in the eyes. Steve got a job at Vancouver, and that first generation of students had left before we thought of going to Australia. About that time I met Ben Walcott in Eugene, Oregon, who said that he would come and join us at St Andrews. How can we find the funds? I asked. No problem, he replied; I will sell my aeroplane!

There was a new group around me by now, working seriously on optics and recording from compound eyes. I had a project with a physics student at Dundee University who built a wax model of a locust ommatidium and shone radar waves down the axis. We had great trouble with standing waves in it, caused by reflection at the end of the rhabdom, but we managed to get some measurements of angular sensitivity. We worked on superposition eyes of beetles for some years and Rick Butler, from Canada, started on the cockroach eye. While at Wood’s Hole, I had another mysterious visit, this time from Dennis Carr, who was the third of the founding professors for the Research School of Biological Sciences in ANU, Canberra, Australia. Then a cable arrived inviting me to consider the fourth of those founding chairs. So I went straight from America to Australia, but, before accepting, I had a good look round several departments of Zoology in Australia, and travelled through PNG and along the Solomon Is and Fiji back to America to discuss the matter with my wife, Audrey.

I sent Ian Meinertzhagen out to Canberra to order equipment and get the labs ready. He was
selected because he had run out of funds for his PhD and needed more time to produce complete maps of retina-lamina synaptic connections in various insects. We brought 18 pieces of luggage, 4 children, and flew out via Athens and Malawi, then by ship from Durban. In Aug 1969 we were met in Sydney by two drivers with RSBS cars. There was a house and a temporary lab ready. I also brought out a whole lab full of people from the UK; Ayis Ioannides was a scholar who couldn't go home to Cyprus, where there was a war on; David Sandeman, Peter Shelton, Ben Walcott and Rick Butler also came from the Gatty. Young post-docs Mark Tyrer with Jen Altman, David Young and Eldon Ball, and an EM technician, Margaret Canny from elsewhere. All of these were housed by ANU. Our very useful technician, Bob Jackson, was the son of the CSIRO workshop chief, and had grown up in Canberra, so he knew exactly where to find anything that was needed. Basically, we transferred the know-how from St Andrews to Canberra and started with a bang.

Allan Snyder turned up about a year later, not knowing one end of a rhabdom from the other. He worked closely with us for some years on the optics of ommatidia. That provided the inspiration for his analysis of polarizing monomodal light guides and their application in long-distance transmission in light guides for communications. For this work he was elected to the Royal Society in 1990.

The new scholars from 1970 until about 1985, were given generous 4 year ANU scholarships, which seemed to be always available, and which included return fares. So there was a steady flow from Cambridge and Europe to Australia. One, indeed, was arrested drunk in charge of a bicycle. Training was in optics, electrophysiology, electron microscopy, on-line data processing by computer and the relation of neuron activity to behaviour. Most worked on compound eyes or ocelli. Everyone who wanted a job in those days could find one with that training. The students made a daily effort to record from difficult eyes with small receptor cells, mayfly, spider eyes, eyes with mobile receptors. On a bad day you might find one seeking comfort in the secretary's office. Laughlin, Doujak, Wilson, Lillywhite, Hardy, Dubs, Howard, Payne, Matic, Shi, and others counted photon arrivals in a variety of eyes. We were the only lab in the world doing that kind of work, and most recordings of day/night changes, absolute and contrast sensitivity, measurements of noise, and optics of unusual insect eyes were first done in Canberra. The policy that I introduced was to insist on extensive technical training, to provide apparatus and assistance, then let the students keep their data and publish their own work. This policy produced winners from the best of them. There was endless spin-off, stimulation to learn more, and little stress from outside.

There was a memorable period in the mid-70's when Stavenga, Snyder, Laughlin, added to by Pinter, Srinivasan and Howard, consolidated the data (mostly from our own lab) on photon capture, interommatidial angles, field sizes, lens apertures and rhabdom cross-sections, to produce a comprehensive theory of design of compound eyes for the known range of ambient light levels. During this period, Dubs, Guy, Laughlin and later Hardy, James, and Howard analysed the function of the large lamina ganglion cells, which produce a temporal derivative of the photon flux and compress the signal. Later Laughlin went on to show that the properties of these cells minimize the noise and maximize the signal. This became the best known example of optimization in a visual system, and Laughlin was elected to the Royal Society in 2000.
There were abundant funds for international visitors. We had quite a name; as a rest home in the sunshine for American professors escaping their winter and income tax, or as a culture shock for Japanese professors who found themselves doing the washing up. One Swedish Head of Dept asked me to take his proposed successor and teach him a thing or two. A German professor cleverly persuaded me to provide a job for someone he could not stand any longer. A cash-strapped English university asked me to help out for a couple of years by funding one of their bright chaps (recently also elected to the Royal Society). From '87 to '96 we were a marriage bureau for pretty Asian students. Maddess, Dubois, Aleksic, Osorio, James, Holmqvist, and Giger all found bliss there. Because he did not, Joe Howard was obliged to wear a sarong when he had no clean underwear.

Meanwhile, as a result of the move to Australia, we had analysed the retina in many insect groups by recording from retinula cells, by detailed anatomy and by optical methods. Gert Stange showed that the dragonfly ocellus controls pitch and roll in flight by summing the illumination from horizon to horizon, and Martin Wilson showed that the locust ocellus detects the position of the horizon mainly by ultraviolet light. We distinguished between the day and the night eye instead of the light and dark-adapted eye. Some of the retinula cells in night-flying beetles and moths make large movements between day and night states. By day, highly refractive guides carry light from the cone tip to the retinula cells in many of the nocturnal insects that have a clear zone in the night eye. Some diurnal moths reach the theoretical limit of resolution in a superposition eye; some nocturnal beetles have very poor resolution and integrate light over huge fields as a strategy to collect as much light as possible for flight in star light. In fact, in 1985 Doujak had shown that a single crab ommatidium could detect a star. With Eric Warrant and Almut Kelber, this tradition is still alive at Lund.

A recurrent problem was, and still is, how to analyse the several parallel processing pathways in the insect visual system. For years we had tried recording in the optic lobe, but the puzzling properties of the neurons in fastened insects could not be explained by the poorly known visual behaviour. Enthusiastic electrophysiologists soon discover that an animal's behaviour is more likely to explain its neuron properties than vice-versa. Willi Ribi described retina/lamina connections by Golgi-EM, which was just the edge of the neural jungle. A notable success was Jenny Kien's discovery of neurons in the brain of the locust that were tuned to the angular velocity of the flowfield. Similar neurons were found in the crab eye-stalk by Sandeman and Erber, although most optic lobe neurons are tuned to a low temporal frequency of passing edges. In another significant advance, in 1984, Maddess discovered that optomotor neurons are most sensitive to a frequency that increases as they adapt to high frequencies; i.e., the system becomes more sensitive to faster motion. Danny Osorio identified neurons of the locust medulla. Later, with Ljerka Marcelja I showed that several groups of insects have slow and fast motion detector neurons (just as they have slow and fast neurons at all levels). Therefore they have the information to measure angular velocity from the ratio of the excitations of these two types.

Like Heisenberg and Wolf (I am sorry they are not here), I had never considered that the Reichardt theory could explain the visual control of free flight of insects. I visited John Kennedy and his student, David, at Imperial College, London, before they published in 1986. They had
found that freely flying Drosophila measures the angular velocity of the flow field and could
detect parallax, as one object moved behind another nearby. This work encouraged me to look
at range estimation by walking mantids as they reached the end of a twig, and to think about
mechanisms of visual control of free flight. Fortunately, Srinivasan wanted to come back to
Canberra from Zürich, and he soon brought Miriam Lehrer from there each summer to teach us
how to train bees. We also brought Zhang from Academia Sinica, Beijing.

This new group started on the visual detection of objects by the flying bee. We found that bees
measure the range to surrounding objects by measuring the relative angular velocity induced
by their own motion. The mechanism could be applied to artificial vision. We joined forces with
Tony Heyes of the Royal Guide Dogs for the Blind, and with a grant we progressed towards
making an eye-on-finger that worked on the same principle, but we could not find a
manufacturer for a gadget for the blind. Then, after Chernobyl blew up, the Japanese Fujitsu
Co., anxious to make vision for mobile robots, gave ANU 10 million dollars for our know-how.
The principles were later sold again to the American military and to NASA, to install in
helicopters and small autonomous flying vehicles. Srini went on to show that in their dance
bees report the distance flown as the integrated velocity over the duration of the outward flight,
and that they learn rules about how to run mazes. For this work Srini was elected to the Royal

At the end of 1992 I found a topic for my retirement that required little equipment or expense --
visual processing of patterns by trained bees, for which the months of Australian sunshine are
ideal. Since von Frisch had shown in 1914 that bees discriminate some pairs of flower-like
patterns very well but fail to discriminate geometrical shapes of similar size, the subject made
no sense, although plenty of good observations using vertical presentation were made before
1939. After many experiments with the Y-choice box for flying bees that was first used by Srini
& Lehrer in Canberra in 1987, I concluded that bees discriminate a few types of cues but
patterns in the image are not re-assembled, and there is doubt that they see any pattern or
objects as we do. There is a variety of coarsely tuned pre-adapted wide-field filters for a few
very simple common parameters of the visual world, and that is all. So we finally get around to
the question "What do insects see?" and conclude that the bee brain detects the range and
directions of a few simple cues, from which they construct a map at each place. It is not often
that research destroys its own topic, but it is now clear that there is no vision of pattern, only a
subtle way to make a sparse map of the surrounding panorama. Bees, and probably all
invertebrates with eyes, suffer from perpetual blindsight, in that they can detect a variety of
cues but they do not reconstruct patterns.

In retrospect, the enthusiasm for research was nurtured in a surprising number of young
scientists by insisting on a broad basic understanding ranging across behaviour, optics,
anatomy, electrophysiology and on-line data-processing and difficult techniques to carry the
work ahead. The aim was an experiment every day; the key was the choice of the right
experiments, patience but persistence, and then encouraging students to publish so that those
who did the work got the acclaim. We consciously avoided nasties, such as radioactivity,
carcinogens, infections, toxins, vivisection of vertebrates or mechanical danger. We had a
mania for the experimental approach, adequate funds, no interference from management,
good libraries and relative isolation from distractions.

*Those were the days!*